AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/N	ODIFICATION	OF CONTRACT	1. CC	ONTRACT ID C	ODE	PAGE OF PAGES 1 6
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICAITON NO. AMENDMENT NO. 0004	9/21/12	4. REQUISITION/PURCHA	DISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO. (If applicble) 12-0066			NO. (If applicble)
6. ISSUED BY CODE	mks	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If	(If other than Item 6) CODE			
Officer in Charge of Construction 1005 Michael Road Camp Lejeune, NC 28547-2521	n MCI-East		See	e Item 6		
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, coun	v. State and ZIP Code)		(X) <sup>§</sup>	9A. AMENDME	NT OF SOLICIA	TION NO.
	,		×	OB. DATED (SE 9/'	7/12 ATION OF CONT	0066 TRACT/ORDER NO.
				TOB. DATED (O	EL ITEIVI III	
	LITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM	ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF	SOLIC	ITATIONS		
(a)By completing items 8 and 15, and returning or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date  12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPIRATION DATA (If required)	to the solicitation and am THE HOUR AND DATE S may be made by telegram	PECIFIED MAY RESULT IN F	E OF YO	UR ACKNOWL	EDGMENT TO E OFFER. If by virt	BE RECEIVED AT THE tue of this amendment
		ODIFICATION OF COL DER NO. AS DESCRIE				
CHECK ONE  A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANO. IN ITEM 10A.						TRACT ORDER
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORI appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN IT C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS EN	EM 14, PURSUANT TO T	HE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.		GES (such as c	hanges in paying	g office,
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS EN	TERED INTO PORSUANT	TO AUTHORITY OF:				
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and a	uthority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor x is not, is						issuing office.
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organize 12-0066, Repair the MCAS New River)	· ·	=		ject matter who	ere feasible.)	
		FINUED~				1.0
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the docu 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	ment referenced in Item 9	A or 10A, as heretofore cha 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF A	AMERIC	A		16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)			_	

## CONTINUATION SHEET

- 1. Question: With the reduced number of trailers as per amendment 3, what is the revised electrical layout for the new trailers, how is the temporary power affected. Please provide an updated electrical drawing reflecting the reduced trailer count.
- **1. Answer:** See attached Revised Drawing E-103 which is hereby incorporated into the RFP (Request for Proposals) dated 7 September 2012.
- **2. Question:** Our roofing subcontractors are stating that the specifications are written for only ONE standing seam metal roof system manufacture, Garland. This eliminates ALL other standing seam roof system manufactures. Is the intent for this project to only allow a Garland Roof System or will other Standing Seam Roof manufactures that meet the performance design criteria be accepted?
- **2. Answer:** The assertion that only one roofing manufacturer exists in not accurate. Comparison based on performance criteria alone will not be acceptable as other features contained in the specification are desired by the Government.
- **3. Question**: Spec Section 07 41 13 Metal Roof Panels, paragraph 2.1.1.c.1 states to provide a stainless steel one piece clip. The one piece clip is a Garland Basis of design clip. Will a 2 piece clip be acceptable if a 2 piece clip meets the performance design criteria of the specifications?
- **3. Answer**: No, the one-piece clip contains features desired by the Government.
- **4. Question**: Spec Section 07 41 13 Metal Roof Panels, paragraph 2.1.1.L states "Profile of panel shall have mesa's every 2 inches on center continuous throughout panel which are a minimum of one-half (1-1/2) inches wide." This panel profile is proprietary to Garland and its affiliates. Typically the profile has minimal affect to meeting performance criteria and is more for aesthetics. The only way to get this profile is to purchase a Garland owned roof system. Will other Metal Roof Panel Manufactures panel profiles be acceptable that meet the performance design criteria of the specifications?
- **4. Answer**: The specified roof panel profile is required. It is manufactured by numerous companies, and since no patent exists, the panel can be manufactured by any company.
- **5. Question**: There are 4 doors listed on the door schedule on drawing A-501. Only 3 doors are listed in the hardware set specification 08 71 00. Hardware for door 119B is not given. Please advise.
- **5. Answer**: Please find attached the revised hardware schedule page which is hereby incorporated into the RFP (Request for Proposals) dated 7 September 2012.

N40085-12-R-0066 Amendment 0004 Page 3 of 6

- **6. Question**: On drawing E-102, there are 3 different fixtures indicated as type C. Please provide clarification on what type is required.
- **6. Answer**: The light fixtures shown in the mechanical rooms and in the attic are incorrect. The mechanical and attic light shall be similar to the J fixture (NL-14)and shall be an 8' fixture with 2-T5 Lamps.
- **7. Question**: The current Basis of Design is a Garland Roof System as indicated by Garland Representative MR. Brian Fritz and the design criteria in the specifications. (See Attached) The statement in the answer to Question # 3 of amendment 0003 states that "The system is , and can be manufactured by several different companies." We have exhausted our efforts and have found that the several companies referenced in Amendment 0003 that can produce the "Garland Basis of Design Roof System" are ALL OWNED BY GARLAND. Therefore there are NOT manufactures that are not owned or by Garland that can meet ALL design aspects of the specifications that we can identify, Will companies, other than Garland, that are not owned or affiliated with Garland be acceptable on this project if the salient physical, functional and performance characteristics are met?
- **7. Answer**: The statement in the answer to question 3 of Amendment 3 is accurate. The answer to question 10 of this amendment further addresses this question.
- **8. Question**: Will the government be only allowing the profile of the roof panel that is specified in para. 2.2.1 L". Profile of panel shall have mesa's every two (2) inches on center continuous throughout panel which are a minimum of one and one-half (1-1/2) inches wide.?
- 8. Answer: Yes.
- **9. Question**: The answer to Question 3 of Amendment 0003 states " It shall be noted that the "one piece" clip used in the Garland roof system, mentioned in the RFI, IS NOT manufactured by Garland.": If the one piece clip is not manufactured by Garland, then how does Garland Manufacture comply with Specification Requirements, specifically paragraph 1.4.1.2 "Single Source" that clearly states "Roofing panels, clips, closures, underlayment and other accessories must be standard products of the same manufacture, and most recent design of the manufacture to operate a complete system for the intended use."? Will other Roof manufactures not have to comply with this paragraph? Are there other paragraphs that compliance may not be required?
- **9. Answer**: There is nothing in the specification or Amendment 3 which requires all components to be manufactured by one company. The "same manufacture" is a statement regarding compatibility. The requirements have their basis in the Government obtaining a roof system warranty from one manufacturer.

N40085-12-R-0066 Amendment 0004 Page 4 of 6

- **10. Question**: The answer to question 3 on Amendment 0003 states "The Merchant and Evans "Zip Rib roofing system does not meet the specifications of this project." It was concluded on a previous project with the exact same specifications that, although Zip Rib did not have the same panel Profile, as they vary from manufacture to manufacture, nor did Zip Rib require the need of a discarded cap strip, that must be replaced with a new cap strip each time a independent panel is removed and replaced by the specified system, Zip Rib not only met the salient physical, functional and performance characteristics of the basis of design Garland system, it exceed the performance requirements is some areas. The ONLY manufactures that can meet the Basis of Design, Garland Roofing system, are Garland or affiliated companies owned by Garland that we can find. Will FAR 52.211-6 be an honored regarding roof systems that are not a Garland owned roof system on this project?
- **10. Answer**: Performance criteria alone is not an acceptable basis for comparison for this roof system. There are desired features contained in the specification. There are companies, not owned by Garland, that manufacture this roof system. No patents exist on the components, and therefore they can be manufactured by any company.

05120066

to adjoining work.

## 3.6 HARDWARE SETS

Quantity	Item	Description
HW-1 Doors 119A		
3 Pair 1 2 2 2 2 1 Set 1	Hinges Lockset Double Dummy Trim Closers Flush Bolts Kickplates Weatherstripping Threshold	A5111 F04 C42021 L04081 J102 As Specified As Specified
HW-2 Door 119B		
1 1/2 Pairs 1 1 1 3	Hinges Lockset Closer Wallstop Silencers Kickplate	A5111 F75 C42021 L02251 L03011 J102
HW-3 Door 123B		
1 1/2 Pairs 1	Hinges-NRP Lockset	A5111 FF-L-2890 LockMaster LKM 7000X09, with pull handle
1 1 3 1	Closer/Stop Wallstop Silencers Kickplate	C42021 L02251 L03011 J102
HW-4 Door 123A		
1 1/2 Pairs 1 1 3	Hinges-NRP Lockset Closer/Stop Silencers Kickplate	A5111 F20 C42021 L03011 J102

<sup>--</sup> End of Section --

